

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/751,206	01/02/2004	Michael Diep	115-231T-001	3396		
7590 10/05/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
DAVID W.C. CHEN			ABBOTT, YVONNE RENEE			
P.O. Box 3041 SEATTLE, WA 98114-3041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3644			
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/05/200	DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1. 16		
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	100		
`		10/75	1,206	DIEP, MICHAEL			
•	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit			
_		Yvonne	R. Abbott	3644			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Fails Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comre e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5 of period for reply is specified above, the maximum sure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply any will, by statute, cause the	statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will expire SIX (6) MONTH: application to become ABAN	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this commu DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>02 January 2</i>	004.				
2a)□		2b)⊠ This action i			•		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4,5,7-16,19 and 20 is/are Claim(s) 2,3,6,17 and 18 is/are obje Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers	e rejected.					
	The specification is objected to by th	e Evaminer					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner			
,,,	Applicant may not request that any obje	•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is req	uired if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-1	52 .		
Priority ı	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have be documents have be of the priority document Bureau (PCT F	een received. een received in App ments have been rec Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Sta	ge		
Attachmen	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date			
3) 🔯 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/5/04</u> .			mal Patent Application (PTO-152	?)		

Application/Control Number: 10/751,206 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

•

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cosmic Pet Product's Alpine Scratcher (first disclosed 10/19/00 on http://www.cosmicpet.com/scratchers.htm) which provides a cardboard scratcher having an abrasive surface thereon (in that it abrades animal claws); a holder for retaining the cardboard scratcher having a support for raising an end of the holder above a surface (see Fig. S11300); wherein a cat is allowed to scratch the scratcher; and wherein the cardboard surface is treated with catnip.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahanick (3,486,485) in view of Kacic (5,564,365). Kahanick shows a cat clawing pad in a housing assembly having walls comprising a corrugated bundle (30) (which is well

Art Unit: 3644

known to include a liner wall and a rippled sheet coupled to the liner); and a floor member (24) coupled to the corrugated bundle. Kacic teaches a litter box or housing assembly having abrasive material which dull cat claws incorporated into the surface of the box in the form of spraying, rolling or applying sheets of abrasive material to the box surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide that the floor member of Kahanick comprise the abrasive material taught by Kacic in order to further enhance the nail/claw dulling effect and to continue to provide such an effect if/when the corrugated material is worn down by the cat scratching to the bottom of the box prior to replacement.

5. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pettsuruto (JP 11018609A) in view of Kacic (5,564,365). Pettsuruto shows a cat clawing pad in a housing assembly or holder having walls which receive a corrugated bundle (11) (which is well known to include a liner wall and a rippled sheet coupled to the liner); and a floor member (1) coupled to the corrugated bundle; the holder further comprising a support member or cover flap (2) that can be locked in an elevated position to an elevation higher than the corrugated bundle (Figure 2), and having an aperture (6) through which a protrusion from a wall can be extended to hang the flap, wherein a portion of the support member or cover flap is selectively capable of being positioned to extend downward from the holder (Fig. 1); further comprising at least one support flap (9) formed on the holder and being configured to be insertable within an aperture of the cover flap to aid in locking the cover flap in the elevated position. Kacic teaches a litter box or housing assembly having abrasive material which

dull cat claws incorporated into the surface of the box in the form of spraying, rolling or applying sheets of abrasive material to the box surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide that the floor member of Pettsuruto comprise the abrasive material applied to the interior surface as taught by Kacic in order to further enhance the nail/claw dulling effect and to continue to provide such an effect if/when the corrugated material is worn down prior to replacement.

- 6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pettsuruto in view of Kacic as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Ishikawa (JP 2003180188A). Although Pettsuruto in view of Kacic teach a device for dulling animal claws having a corrugated bundle and a cover flap, catch channels proximate the cover flap are not shown. Ishikawa also teaches a cat nail sharpener comprising an abrasive element of corrugated paperboard, and further comprising channels (54) between the paperboard to catch paper dust generated from the scratching the corrugated surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide channels within the claw dulling device of the combination of Pettsuruto and Kacic as taught by Ishikawa that such holes or channels provide for easy clean up and maintenance by catching paper dust and prevent the floor or carpeting from being stained or covered with the paper dust particles.
- 7. Claims 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pettsuruto (JP 11018609A) in view of Kacic (5,564,365). Pettsuruto shows a method of dulling cat claws comprising a housing assembly or holder having walls

Art Unit: 3644

which receive a corrugated pad or bundle (11) (which is well known to include a liner wall and a rippled sheet coupled to the liner); and a floor member (1) coupled to the corrugated bundle; the holder further comprising a support member or cover flap (2) that can be locked in an elevated position to an elevation higher than the corrugated bundle (Figure 2) such that cardboard pieces are kept from being propelled away from the holder by a cat scratching the device. Kacic teaches a method of using a litter box or housing assembly having abrasive material which dull cat claws incorporated into the surface of the box in the form of spraying, rolling or applying sheets of abrasive material to the box surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide that the floor member of Pettsuruto comprise the abrasive material applied to the interior surface as taught by Kacic in order to further enhance the nail/claw dulling effect and to continue to provide such an effect if/when the corrugated material is worn down prior to replacement.

- 8. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pettsuruto in view of Kacic as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Ishikawa (JP 2003180188A). See discussion in paragraph 6 above.
- 9. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahanick (3,486,485) in view of Kacic (5,564,365). Kahanick disclose a method of dulling cat claws comprising a housing assembly having walls comprising a corrugated pad or bundle (30) (which is well known to include a liner wall and a rippled sheet coupled to the liner); and a floor member (24) coupled to the corrugated bundle; wherein catnip or other attracting material is placed within the cardboard scratcher to

Art Unit: 3644

induce the cat to scratch. Kacic teaches a litter box or housing assembly having abrasive material which dull cat claws incorporated into the surface of the box in the form of spraying, rolling or applying sheets of abrasive material to the box surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide that the floor member of Kahanick comprise the abrasive material taught by Kacic in order to further enhance the nail/claw dulling effect and to continue to provide such an effect if/when the corrugated material is worn down by the cat scratching to the bottom of the box prior to replacement. With respect to claim 16, although it is not specifically disclosed that the catnip or other attracting material is organic, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use organic products if one wished to use a product having no synthetic fertilizers or pesticides, being free from chemical injections or additives in order to provide a more healthy product for the cat to consume.

10. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cosmic Pet Product's Alpine Scratcher in view of Worldwise Inc.'s, Cat Scratcher, August 30, 2001, (www.worldwise.com/widbodcatscr.html), which discloses that a cat scratcher and Certified Organic Catnip were introduced 6 years prior (to 8/30/01). Although a method of dulling cat claws by providing an elevated holder containing a corrugated scratcher treated with catnip which attracts the cats to use the scratcher, it is not disclosed that the catnip is organic. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use organic products as taught by Worldwise Inc. if one wished to use a product having no synthetic fertilizers or

Application/Control Number: 10/751,206 Page 7

Art Unit: 3644

pesticides, being free from chemical injections or additives in order to provide a more healthy product for the cat to consume.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 2, 3, 6, 17 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne R. Abbott whose telephone number is (703)308-2866. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (703)305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3644